**Industry Visit Report Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Excellent (80-100%) | Good (65-80%) | Satisfactory (50-65%) | Unsatisfactory (0-49%) |
| 1. InterGrain (Overview) (10 marks) | Provides an excellent understanding of InterGrain's role, giving a valuable reflection on the lab visit experience. | Understands InterGrain's role and shares a satisfactory reflection from the visit. | Basic understanding of InterGrain's role and limited reflection on the lab visit. | Lacks clarity on InterGrain's role and inadequate reflection from the visit. |
| 2. Grain breeding processes and field operation activities (20 marks) | Demonstrates an excellent understanding of grain breeding processes, shows a deep understanding of field activities, and expertly uses relevant examples and scientific literature. | Exhibits solid knowledge of grain breeding processes and field activities. Relevant examples and scientific literature are generally used well. | Demonstrates basic understanding of grain breeding and field activities. Occasional use of relevant examples and scientific literature. | Displays inadequate understanding of grain breeding processes and field activities. Lacks use of relevant examples and scientific literature. |
| 3. Milling operations (20 marks) | Demonstrates an excellent understanding of milling operations, gives a deep insight into their contribution to the end product, and expertly uses relevant examples and scientific literature. | Good grasp of milling operations, acknowledges their contribution to end product. Generally uses relevant examples and scientific literature. | Basic understanding of milling operations, limited insight into their contribution to end product. Occasional use of relevant examples and scientific literature. | Poor understanding of milling operations and their contribution to the end product. Lacks use of relevant examples and scientific literature. |
| 4. Laboratory Quality tests (30 marks) | Demonstrates an excellent understanding of quality parameters, gives a deep analysis of test implications, and expertly uses relevant examples and scientific literature. | Good understanding of quality parameters, analyses test implications, and generally uses relevant examples and scientific literature. | Satisfactory understanding of quality parameters, some analysis of test implications, occasional use of relevant examples and scientific literature. | Inadequate understanding of quality parameters, lacks analysis of test implications, and does not use relevant examples and scientific literature. |
| 5. References (10 marks) | References are cited perfectly according to APA 7th edition, and credible and relevant sources are consistently used. | Most references are correctly cited according to APA 7th edition, and credible and relevant sources are used. | Some references are correctly cited according to APA 7th edition, and a few credible and relevant sources are used. | Incorrect or missing citation and referencing according to APA 7th edition, and credible and relevant sources are not used. |
| 6. English Writing competency (10 marks) | Writing is excellent with no grammatical or spelling errors. The report is clearly expressed and ideas flow logically. | Good writing with minor grammatical or spelling errors. The report is mostly clear and ideas flow well. | Satisfactory writing with noticeable grammatical or spelling errors. The report is somewhat clear and the flow of ideas is somewhat disjointed. | Poor writing with numerous grammatical or spelling errors. The report is unclear and the flow of ideas is confusing. |